# Queensland University of Technology Transport Data Analysis and Modeling Methodologies 

Lab Session \#13<br>(Random Parameters Duration Models)

You are given 204 observations from a travel survey conducted in the spring of 1988, in the Seattle area (this is the same data that was used for Lab Session \#6). While the purpose of the survey was to study the number of times (per week) commuters' changed their departure time on their work-to-home trip to avoid traffic congestion, we also have information on the length of time that they delay their trips to avoid congestion. The length of time commuters' delay is ideally suited to duration models.

Your task is to estimate a random paramters Weibull duration model. Please not that the software package actually estimates the parameter vector $-\boldsymbol{\beta}$ instead of just $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ so that the effect of the covariates on the hazard is:

## $\operatorname{EXP}(-\beta \mathbf{X})$

This means that a negative parameter increases the hazard and thus decreases the duration. So the negative sign gives the effect on duration instead of on the hazard.

Following the same procedure used for random-paramter count models (assignment \#9), random parameters are introduced into duration models by adding a randomly distributed term $\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{n}\right)$ is introduced so that $\beta_{n}=\beta+\omega_{n}$ and explanatory variables now act on the hazard as $\operatorname{EXP}\left(\beta_{n} \mathbf{X}_{n}\right)$, where $\beta$ now varies across $n$ observations. As with the two random parameter models presented previously (logit and count modles), a simulation-based maximum likelihood method is again used (with Halton draws again being an efficient alternative to random draws).

This means that a negative parameter in LIMDEP increases the hazard and thus decreases the duration. So the negative sign gives the effect on duration instead of on the hazard.

In your analysis include:

1. The results of your best model specification.
2. A discussion of the logical process that led you to the selection of your final specification. (e.g. Discuss the theory behind the inclusion of your selected variables). Include $t$-statistics and justify the sign of your variables.

Variables available for your specification are (file Ex16-3.txt)

| Variable Number | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| x1 | Household number |
| x2 | Do you ever delay work-to-home departure to avoid traffic congestion? 1-yes, 0-no |
| x3 | If sometimes delay, on average how many minutes do you delay? |
| x4 | If sometimes delay, do you 1-perform additional work, 2-engage in non-work activities, or 3-do both? |
| x5 | If sometimes delay, how many times have you delayed in the past week? |
| x6 | Mode of transportation used work-to-home: 1-car SOV, 2-carpool, 3vanpool, 4-bus, 5 other. |
| x7 | Primary route (work-to-home): 1-I90, 2-I5, 3-SR520, 4-I405, 5-other |
| x8 | Do you generally encounter traffic congestion on you work-to-home trip? 1-yes, 2-no |
| x9 | Age in years: 1-(<25), 2-(26-30), 3-(31-35), 4-(36-40), 5-(41-45), 6-(46-50), 7-(>50) |
| x10 | Gender: 1-male, 0-female |
| x11 | Number of cars in household |
| x12 | Number of children in household |
| x13 | Annual income: 1 - less than 20000, 2 - 20000 to 29999, 3 - 30000 to 39999, 4-40000 to 49999, 5 - 50000 to 59999, 6 ->60000 |
| x14 | Do you have flexible work hours? 1-yes, 0-no |
| x15 | Distance from work to home (in miles) |
| x16 | Face LOS D or worse? 1-yes, 0-no |
| x17 | Ratio of actual travel time to free-flow travel time |
| x18 | Population of work zone |
| x19 | Retail employment in work zone |
| x20 | Service employment in work zone |
| x21 | Size of work zone (in acres) |

```
--> RESET
--> sample;1-204$
--> read;nvar=21;nobs=204;file=D:Ex16-3.dat$
--> reject;x3=0$
--> dstat;rhs=x3$
Descriptive Statistics
All results based on nonmissing observations.
=====================================================================================
Variable Mean Std.Dev. Minimum Maximum Cases
```



```
*----------------------------------------------
All observations in current sample
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
X 3 & 51.2916667 & 37.4671552 & 4.00000000 & 240.000000
\end{tabular}
--> create;if(x6=1) car=1$
--> create;if(x9>6)old=1$
--> dstat;rhs=car$
Descriptive Statistics
All results based on nonmissing observations.
======================================================================================
Variable Mean Std.Dev. Minimum Maximum Cases
=====================================================================================
All observations in current sample
```



```
--> create;ltime=log(x3) $
--> survival;lhs=ltime;rhs=one,x10,x15,x17,x18;model=weibull
    ;rpm;pts=200;halton
    ;fcn=x10(n) ,x17(n) ,x18(n) $
```



Normal exit from iterations. Exit status=0.


Implied covariance matrix of random parameters
Matrix Var_Beta has 3 rows and 3 columns.
123


Implied standard deviations of random parameters
Matrix S.D_Beta has 3 rows and 1 columns.
1

| 1 | . 13878 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | . 34254 |
| 3 | . 5258469D-05 |

Implied correlation matrix of random parameters
Matrix Cor_Beta has 3 rows and 3 columns.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.00000 | . 57032 | . 08816 |
| 2 | . 57032 | 1.00000 | . 59142 |
| 3 | . 08816 | . 59142 | 1.00000 |

